arXiv:1701.04548v1 [cs.DM] 17 Jan 2017

ANALYTIC CONNECTIVITY IN GENERAL HYPERGRAPHS

ASHWIN GUHA, MUNI SREENIVAS PYDI, BISWAJIT PARIA,
AND AMBEDKAR DUKKIPATI

Abstract. In this paper we extend the known results of analytic connectivity to non-uniform hypergraphs. We prove a modified Cheeger's inequality and also give a bound on analytic connectivity with respect to the degree sequence and diameter of a hypergraph.

1. Introduction

Combinatorial graph theory is a vast active area of research with a wide variety of applications. It is well-known that many interesting problems have no polynomial-time algorithms. In an attempt to find approximate solutions to these problems, matrices pertaining to the graph such as adjacency matrix or Laplacian matrix were studied, and the eigenvalues of these matrices were used to bound various graph parameters. This gave rise to spectral graph theory, which has since become a separate area of research in its own right.

The idea of graphs has been generalized to hypergraphs where an edge may span more than two vertices. Hypergraphs have also been explored in detail [2]. However, spectral methods for hypergraphs have received less attention. Recently there has been a renewed interest in spectral hypergraph theory.

The traditional approach towards dealing with hypergraphs has been to convert it into a graph and apply the known graph results. Another approach is to define a tensor for a hypergraph that is an analogue of the corresponding matrix for a graph. A novel definition of eigenvalue for a tensor given by Qi [8] and independently by Lim [7] has led to surge of activity in this area. Subsequently various results on spectra of hypergraphs have been provided in [9, 4] . The results in these works are applicable to uniform hypergraphs where the size of every edge is fixed. There have been recent attempts to extend these results for non-uniform hypergraphs [1, 3].

The concept of analytic connectivity was introduced in [9], which is the analogue of algebraic connectivity introduced by Fiedler [5] for graphs. In [6], the relations between analytic connectivity and other graph parameters are explored. In particular, Cheeger's inequality for uniform hypergraphs is proved.

In this paper we use the terminology given by Banerjee *et al.* [1] and extend the results by Li *et al.* [6] to non-uniform hypergraphs. We prove a modified Cheeger's inequality and also give the relation between analytic connectivity and diameter.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce our notation and state the preliminaries. We state the equivalent theorems for graphs and uniform hypergraphs. In Section 3, we provide the main results with proof. Section 4 contains concluding remarks.

2. Preliminaries

Let $H(V, E)$ be a general hypergraph on vertex set V and edge set E which is a set of non-empty subsets of V. Let n be number of vertices. We denote by m and $s_{\min}$ the size of the largest edge and the smallest edge respectively, *i.e.*, $m = \max\{|e| : e \in E\}$ and $s_{\min} = \min\{|e| : e \in E\}$. Let Δ be the largest degree among vertices of H.

For a hypergraph H we adopt the definition given in [1] and define the adjacency tensor $\mathcal{A}$ to be an n-dimensional hypermatrix [1] of order m such that if $e = \{v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \ldots, v_{i_s}\} \in E$ is an edge of cardinality $s \leq m$, then

$$a_{p_1 p_2 \ldots p_m} = \frac{s}{\Omega}, \text{ where } \Omega = \sum_{k_j \geq 1} \frac{m!}{k_1! k_2! \ldots k_s!}$$

with $\sum k_j = m$ and $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_m$ are chosen in all possible ways from $\{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_s\}$ such that each element of the set appears at least once. The other entries of the hypermatrix are zero. Note that this definition agrees with the definition of adjacency tensor for uniform hypergraphs given in [4].

For an edge $e = \{v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \ldots, v_{i_s}\} \in E$ we define

$$x_m^e = \sum x_{r_1} x_{r_2} \ldots x_{r_m},$$

where the sum is over $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_m$ chosen in all possible ways from $\{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_s\}$ with each element of the set appearing at least once in the index .

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the degree tensor which is a diagonal tensor of order m and dimension n such that $d_{ii\ldots i} = d(v_i)$ and zero elsewhere. We define Laplacian tensor $\mathcal{L}(H)$ to be $\mathcal{D} - \mathcal{A}$.

For a tensor $\mathcal{T}$ of order m and dimension n and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we define $\mathcal{T}x^m$ as

$$(\mathcal{T}x^m)_j = \sum_{i_2, \ldots, i_m = 1}^{n} t_{j i_2 i_3 \ldots i_m} x_{i_2} x_{i_3} \ldots x_{i_m}.$$

[1] In this paper we use the terms hypermatrix and tensor interchangeably for ease of understanding.

In particular we have

$$\mathcal{L}x^m = (\mathcal{D}-\mathcal{A})x^m = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d(v_i)x_i^m - \sum_{i_2,\ldots,i_m=1}^{n} a_{ii_2\ldots i_m}x_{i_2}\ldots x_{i_m}$$

$$= \sum_{e=\{v_{i_1},\ldots,v_{i_s}\}\in E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{s} x_{i_j}^m - \frac{s}{\Omega}x_m^e\right) = \sum_{e\in E}\mathcal{L}(e)x^m$$

where $\mathcal{L}(e)x^m = \sum_{j=1}^{s} x_{i_j}^m - \frac{s}{\Omega}x_m^e$.

It can be shown that for $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$, $\mathcal{L}(e)x^m \geq 0$ [1]. We recall the definitions of a few hypergraph parameters which are intuitive generalizations of those for a 2-graph.

Definition 2.1. *For a hypergraph H, the isoperimetric number $i(H)$ is defined as*

$$i(H) = \min\left\{\frac{|\partial S|}{|S|} : S \subset V, 0 \leq |S| \leq \frac{|V|}{2}\right\},$$

where ∂S is the boundary of S which consists of the edges in H with vertices in both S and $\overline{S} = V\backslash S$. When H is a 2-graph, the edges in ∂S have exactly one vertex in S and one vertex in $\overline{S}$.

Definition 2.2. *For a hypergraph H, the diameter $diam(H)$ is defined as the maximum distance between any pair of vertices.*

$$diam(H) = \max\{l(u,v) : u, v \in V\},$$

where $l(u,v)$ is the length of the shortest path connecting u and v.

The concept of algebraic connectivity, defined as the second smallest eigenvalue of the Laplacian (denoted by λ_2) was introduced by Fiedler [5]. The algebraic connectivity has proved to be a reliable measure to understand the structure of a 2-graph. Some of the results which give bounds on λ_2 with respect to various graph constants are given below, including a version of the famous Cheeger inequality.

Lemma 2.3. *For a graph G with n vertices,*

$$\lambda_2(G) = 2n\min\left\{\frac{\sum_{(v_i,v_j)\in E}(x_i-x_j)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(x_i-x_j)^2} : x \neq c.\mathbf{1}_n, \text{ for all } c \in \mathbb{R}\right\}.$$

Theorem 2.4. *For a graph G with n vertices,*

$$\lambda_2(G) \geq \frac{4}{n\cdot diam(G)}.$$

Theorem 2.5. *Let G be a 2-graph with more than one edge and $(d(v_1),\ldots,d(v_n))$ be the degree sequence of G. Then*

$$\lambda_2(G) \leq \min_{\{v_i,v_j\}\in E}\left\{\frac{d(v_i)+d(v_j)-2}{2}\right\}.$$

Theorem 2.6. *For a graph G,*

$$2i(G) \geq \lambda_2(G) \geq \Delta(G) - \sqrt{\Delta(G)^2 - i(G)^2}.$$

For k-graphs the concept of analytic connectivity was introduced by Qi [9] as the equivalent of λ_2. The definition is also valid for non-uniform hypergraphs as mentioned in [1].

Definition 2.7. *The analytic connectivity of a k-uniform hypergraph H is defined as*

$$\alpha(H) = \min_{j=1,\ldots,n} \min\{\mathcal{L}x^m \ : x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+, \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^m = 1, x_j = 0\}.$$

The above results for 2-graphs have been extended to k-graphs in [6] where the inequalities are presented with respect to $\alpha(H)$. The theorems are given below.

Theorem 2.8. *Let H be a k-graph. Then*

$$\alpha(H) \geq \frac{4}{n^2(k-1)diam(H)}.$$

Theorem 2.9. *Let H be k-graph with more than one edge. Then*

$$\alpha(H) \leq \min\left\{ \frac{d(v_{i_1}) + d(v_{i_2}) + \ldots + d(v_{i_k}) - k}{k} : \{v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \ldots, v_{i_k}\} \in E \right\}.$$

Theorem 2.10. *For a k-graph H with $k \geq 3$,*

$$\frac{k}{2} i \geq \alpha(H) \geq \Delta - \sqrt{\Delta^2 - i^2}.$$

We also mention a lemma from [6] which will be used to prove the main results in the next section.

Lemma 2.11. *Let $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$. Let $A = (a_1 + \ldots + a_n)/n$ and $G = (a_1 \ldots a_n)^{1/n}$. Then*

$$A - G \geq \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\sqrt{a_i} - \sqrt{a_j})^2. \tag{1}$$

$$A - G \geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (\sqrt{b_j} - \sqrt{b_{n+1-j}})^2. \tag{2}$$

where $b_j = a_{\sigma(j)}$, for $j = 1, \ldots, n$ and σ is a permutation of the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$.

3. Results for general hypergraphs

In this section we prove the corresponding results for non-uniform hypergraphs. The inequalities are similar to that of uniform hypergraphs, except for an additional factor of $\frac{s_{\min}}{m}$. The proofs can be obtained by modifying those in [6]. We have given the detailed proofs here for the sake of clarity and completeness.

Theorem 3.1. *Let H be a general hypergraph. Then*

$$\alpha(H) \geq \frac{4s_{min}}{n^2 m(m-1)diam(H)}.$$

Proof. Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ be the vector achieving $\alpha(H)$. Assume $x_n = 0$ and let $y = x^{(m/2)}$. Define a 2-graph H^* with vertex set $V(H)$ and $u \sim v$ in H^* if and only if $\{u, v\} \subset e \in E$. In other words H^* is the clique expansion of H. We know that $\text{diam}(H) = \text{diam}(H^*)$. For edge $e = \{v_{i_1}, \ldots, v_{i_s}\} \in E$, consider k_1 copies of x_1^m, k_2 copies of x_2^m and k_s copies of x_s^m, where $k_j \geq 1$ and $\sum k_j = m$. From Lemma 2.11 we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{k_1 x_1^m + k_2 x_2^m + \ldots k_s x_s^m}{m} - x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \ldots x_s^{k_s} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{m(m-1)}[(x_1^{m/2} - x_2^{m/2})^2 + (x_1^{m/2} - x_3^{m/2})^2 + \ldots + (x_{s-1}^{m/2} - x_s^{m/2})^2] \\
&= \frac{1}{m(m-1)} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} (x_i^{m/2} - x_j^{m/2})^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Summing over different values of $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_s$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Omega}{s}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} x_i^m\right) - x_m^e &\geq \frac{\Omega}{m(m-1)} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} (x_i^{m/2} - x_j^{m/2})^2 \\
\frac{1}{s}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} x_i^m - \frac{s}{\Omega} x_m^e\right) &\geq \frac{1}{m(m-1)} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} (x_i^{m/2} - x_j^{m/2})^2 \\
\mathcal{L}(e)x^m &\geq \frac{s}{m}\frac{1}{(m-1)} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} (x_i^{m/2} - x_j^{m/2})^2 \\
&\geq \frac{s_{\min}}{m}\frac{1}{(m-1)} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} (x_i^{m/2} - x_j^{m/2})^2.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha &= \sum_{e \in E(H)} \mathcal{L}(e)x^m \\
&\geq \frac{s_{\min}}{m}\frac{1}{(m-1)} \sum_{(v_i v_j) \in E(H^*)} (x_i^{m/2} - x_j^{m/2})^2 \\
&= \frac{s_{\min}}{m}\frac{1}{(m-1)} \sum_{(v_i v_j) \in E(H^*)} (y_i - y_j)^2 \\
&= \frac{s_{\min}}{m}\frac{1}{(m-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} (y_i - y_j)^2 \frac{\sum_{(v_i v_j) \in E(H^*)} (y_i - y_j)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} (y_i - y_j)^2} \\
&\geq \frac{\lambda_2(H^*)}{2n(m-1)}\frac{s_{\min}}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} (y_i - y_j)^2 \quad \text{from Lemma 2.3.}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(y_i-y_j)^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}y_i^2+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}y_j^2-2\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}y_iy_j\\ &= 2n\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}y_i^2\right)-2\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}y_i\right)^2 \qquad (\text{since } y_n=0)\\ &\geq 2n-2(n-1)(\sum_{i=1}^{n}y_i^2) \quad (\text{from Cauchy-Schwarz})\\ &= 2.\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\alpha \geq \frac{\lambda_2(H^*)}{2n(m-1)}\frac{s_{\min}}{m}\cdot 2.$$

From Theorem 2.4, $\lambda_2(H^*) \geq \frac{4}{\text{diam}(H^*)\cdot n}$. Therefore,

$$\alpha \geq \frac{s_{\min}}{m}\frac{4}{n^2(m-1)\cdot \text{diam}(H^*)}.$$

□

Theorem 3.2. *Let H be a non-uniform hypergraph with more than one edge. Then*

$$\alpha(H) \leq \min\left\{\frac{d(v_{i_1})+d(v_{i_2})+\ldots+d(v_{i_s})-s}{s} : \{v_{i_1},v_{i_2},\ldots,v_{i_s}\}\in E\right\}.$$

Proof. Let $e_0=\{v_{i_1},v_{i_2},\ldots,v_{i_s}\}\in E$. Define a vector $x\in\mathbb{R}_+^n$ such that

$$x_i=\begin{cases}s^{-m} & \text{if } v_i\in e_0\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Then $\sum_{i=1}^{m}x_i^m = s(\frac{1}{s^{1/m}})^m = 1$. $\mathcal{L}(e_0)x^m = \sum_{i=1}^{m}x_i^m - \frac{s}{\Omega}x_m^e = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(H) &\leq \mathcal{L}x^m\\ &= \sum_{e\in E}\mathcal{L}(e)x^m\\ &= \left(\sum_{e\in E\setminus\{e_0\}}\mathcal{L}(e)x^m+\mathcal{L}(e_0)x^m\right)\\ &= (d(v_{i_1})-1)(1/s)+(d(v_{i_2})-1)(1/s)+\ldots+(d(v_{i_s})-1)(1/s)\\ &= \frac{d(v_{i_1})+d(v_{i_2})+\ldots+d(v_{i_s})-s}{s}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.3. *For a non-uniform hypergraph H,*

$$\frac{m}{2}i \geq \alpha(H) \geq \frac{s_{min}}{m}(\Delta-\sqrt{\Delta^2-i^2}).$$

Proof. Suppose $S \subset V$ gives the isoperimetric number i. Let $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ be the vector defined as follows.

$$y_i = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|S|^{1/m}} & \text{if } v_i \in S \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $t_S(e) = |\{v : v \in e \cap S\}|$ be the number of vertices of e in S and $t(S) = \frac{\sum_{e \in \partial S} t_S(e)}{|\partial S|}$.

$$t(S) + t(\overline{S}) = \frac{\sum_{e \in \partial S} t_S(e) + t_{\overline{S}}(e)}{|\partial S|} \leq m. \tag{3}$$

$$\alpha \leq \mathcal{L}y^m = \left(\sum_{e \in S} + \sum_{e \in \overline{S}} + \sum_{e \in \partial S} \right) \mathcal{L}(e) y^m. \tag{4}$$

If $e = \{v_1, \ldots, v_s\} \subset \overline{S}$, $\mathcal{L}(e)y^m = \sum y_i^m - \frac{s}{\Omega} y_m^e = 0$. If $e = \{v_1, \ldots, v_s\} \subset S$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(e)y^m &= \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_i^m - \frac{s}{\Omega} y_m^e \\ &= \frac{s}{|S|} - \frac{s}{\Omega} \sum \frac{1}{|S|} \cdot 1 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore only edges in the boundary contribute to the sum of (4).

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &\leq \sum_{e \in \partial S} \sum_{v_i \in e \cap S} y_i^m \\ &= \sum_{e \in \partial S} \frac{t_S(e)}{|S|} = \frac{1}{|S|} t(S) |\partial S| \\ &= t(S) \cdot i. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can get $\alpha \leq t(\overline{S}) \cdot i$. Adding them we get $2\alpha \leq (t(S) + t(\overline{S}))i$. Combining with (3), we get $\alpha \leq \frac{mi}{2}$.

To prove the lower bound, suppose $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ achieves α. For each edge $e = \{v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \ldots, v_{i_s}\}$ assume $x_{i_1} \leq x_{i_2} \leq \ldots \leq x_{i_s}$ by rearranging the vertices. We define a 2-graph $\widehat{H}$ whose vertex set is same as H and edges are such that $E(\widehat{H}) = \cup_{e \in E(H)} \{v_{i_j} v_{i_{s+1-j}} : j = 1, \ldots, \lfloor s/2 \rfloor\}$. Then

$$\alpha = \sum_{e = \{v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \ldots, v_{i_s}\}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{s} x_{i_j}^m - \frac{s}{\Omega} \sum_{\substack{k_i \geq 1 \\ \sum k_i = m}} x_{i_1}^{k_1} \ldots x_{i_s}^{k_s} \right). \tag{5}$$

Consider k_1 copies of x_1^m, k_2 copies of x_2^m and k_s copies of x_s^m, where $k_j \geq 1$ and $\sum k_j = m$. Applying Lemma 2.11 we get

$$\frac{k_1 x_1^m + k_2 x_2^m + \ldots k_s x_s^m}{m} - x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \ldots x_s^{k_s} \geq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} (\sqrt{b_i} - \sqrt{b_{m+1-i}})^2 \tag{6}$$

where $b_1, \ldots, b_m$ is any permutation of the variables x_i. In particular consider the assignment $b_1 = x_{i_1}^m, b_2 = x_{i_2}^m, \ldots, b_{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} = x_{i_{s/2}}^m, b_{m+1-\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} = x_{i_{s+1-\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}}^m, \ldots, b_m = x_{i_s}^m$, and $b_{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor + 1}, \ldots, b_{m-\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}$ are assigned to any of the remaining $m - s$ variables. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} (\sqrt{b_i} - \sqrt{b_{m+1-i}})^2 &\geq \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} (\sqrt{b_i} - \sqrt{b_{m+1-i}})^2 \quad (\text{ since } s \leq m) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} (\sqrt{x_{i_j}^m} - \sqrt{x_{i_{s+1-j}}^m})^2 \end{aligned}$$

Using the above inequality with (6), and summing over all possible values of $k_1, \ldots, k_s$ as in proof of Theorem 3.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &\geq \sum_{e=\{v_{i_1}, \ldots, v_{i_s}\} \in E(H)} \left(\frac{s}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} (\sqrt{x_{i_j}^m} - \sqrt{x_{i_{s+1-j}}^m})^2\right) \\ &\geq \frac{s_{\min}}{m} \sum_{e=\{v_{i_1}, \ldots, v_{i_s}\} \in E(H)} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} (\sqrt{x_{i_j}^m} - \sqrt{x_{i_{s+1-j}}^m})^2\right) \\ &= \frac{s_{\min}}{m} \sum_{\{v_i, v_j\} \in E(\widehat{H})} (\sqrt{x_i^m} - \sqrt{x_j^m})^2). \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding as in proof of k-graphs in [6], let $M = \sum_{\{v_i, v_j\} \in E'} (y_i - y_j)^2$ where $E' = E(\widehat{H})$ and $y_i = \sqrt{x_i^m}$. From Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have

$$M \geq \frac{(\sum_{\{v_i, v_j\} \in E'} |y_i^2 - y_j^2|)^2}{\sum_{\{v_i, v_j\} \in E'} (y_i + y_j)^2}. \tag{7}$$

Let $w_0 (= 0) < w_1 < \ldots < w_h$ be the distinct values of y_i, for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. For $j = 0, \ldots, h$, let $V_j = \{v_i \in V : y_i \geq w_j\}$. For each edge $e \in \partial(V_j)$, let $\delta_j(e) = \min\{|V_j \cap e|, |\overline{V_j} \cap e|\}$. Let $\delta(V_j) = \min\{\delta_j(e) : e \in \partial(V_j)\}$ and $\delta(H) = \min_{j=0,\ldots,h} \delta(V_j)$.

$$\sum_{\{v_i,v_j\}\in E'} |y_i^2 - y_j^2| = \sum_{k=1}^{h} \sum_{\substack{\{v_i,v_j\}\in E' \\ v_i\in V_k \\ v_j\notin V_k}} (y_i^2 - y_j^2) = \sum_{k=1}^{h} \sum_{\substack{\{v_i,v_j\}\in E' \\ y_i=w_k \\ y_j=w_l \\ l<k}} (w_k^2 - w_l^2)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{h} \sum_{\substack{\{v_i,v_j\}\in E' \\ y_i=w_k \\ y_j=w_l \\ l<k}} (w_k^2 - w_{k-1}^2) + (w_{k-1}^2 - w_{k-2}^2) + \ldots + (w_{l+1}^2 - w_l^2)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{h} \sum_{\substack{\{v_i,v_j\}\in E' \\ v_i\in V_k}} \sum_{v_j\notin V_k} (w_k^2 - w_{k-1}^2)$$

$$\geq \sum_{k=1}^{h} \delta(V_k)|\partial V_k|(w_k^2 - w_{k-1}^2)$$

$$\geq \sum_{k=1}^{h} \delta(H)i(H)|V_k|(w_k^2 - w_{k-1}^2)$$

$$= \delta(H)i(H)(|V_h|(w_h^2 - w_{h-1}^2) + \ldots + |V_1|(w_1^2 - w_0^2))$$

$$= \delta(H)i(H)((|V_h| - |V_{h-1}|)w_h^2 + \ldots + (|V_1| - |V_2|)w_1^2)$$

$$= \delta(H)i(H)\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^2$$

$$\sum_{\{v_i,v_j\}\in E'} (y_i + y_j)^2 = 2\sum_{v_i,v_j\in E'} (y_i^2 + y_j^2) - \sum_{v_i,v_j\in E'} (y_i - y_j)^2$$

$$\leq 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} d(v_i)y_i^2 - \sum_{v_i,v_j\in E'} (y_i - y_j)^2$$

$$\leq 2\Delta(\widehat{H})\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^2 - \sum_{v_i,v_j\in E'} (y_i - y_j)^2$$

$$= 2\Delta(\widehat{H}) - M \leq 2\Delta(H) - M.$$

$$M \geq \frac{\delta(H)^2 i(H)^2}{2\Delta - M} \geq \frac{i(H)^2}{2\Delta - M},$$

solving which we get $M \geq \Delta - \sqrt{\Delta^2 - i^2}$. Substituting in (7) we get

$$\alpha \geq \frac{s_{\min}}{m}(\Delta - \sqrt{\Delta^2 - i^2}).$$

□

4. Summary

In this paper we have built upon the results for analytic connectivity of k-graphs given in [6] by applying the definitions for general hypergraphs found in [1]. We have obtained bounds for the analytic connectivity of general hypergraphs with respect to diameter and degree sequence of the hypergraph. We also proved a version of Cheeger's inequality for hypergraphs.

References

[1] Anirban Banerjee, Arnab Char, and Bibhash Mondal. Spectra of general hypergraphs. *arXiv preprint:1601.02136*, 2016.

[2] Claude Berge and Edward Minieka. *Graphs and hypergraphs*, volume 7. North-Holland publishing company Amsterdam, 1973.

[3] Changjiang Bu, Jiang Zhou, and Lizhu Sun. Spectral properties of general hypergraphs. *arXiv preprint:1605.05942*, 2016.

[4] Joshua Cooper and Aaron Dutle. Spectra of uniform hypergraphs. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 436(9):3268–3292, 2012.

[5] Miroslav Fiedler. Algebraic connectivity of graphs. *Czechoslovak mathematical journal*, 23(2):298–305, 1973.

[6] Wei Li, Joshua Cooper, and An Chang. Analytic connectivity of k-uniform hypergraphs. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, pages 1–13, 2016.

[7] L.H. Lim. Singular values and eigenvalues of tensors, a variational approach. *Proceedings of 1st IEEE international workshop on computational advances of multitensor adaptive processing*, pages 129–132, 2005.

[8] Liqun Qi. Eigenvalues of a real supersymmetric tensor. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 40(6):1302–1324, 2005.

[9] Liqun Qi. H^+ eigenvalues of laplacian and signless laplacian tensor. *Communications in Mathematical Sciences*, 12(6):1045–1064, 2014.

Department of Computer Science and Automation, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560012, India.

E-mail address: ad@csa.iisc.ernet.in